Universality of Local Bulk Regime for Hermitian Matrix Models

L. Pastur Ukraine

1 Introduction

1.1 Asymptotic "Philosophy" of RMT

(ii) \overline{N}_n ! N weakly as n ! 1;

(ii) \overline{N}_n ! N weakly as n ! 1;

(iii)
$$N() = R$$
 () $d :$

Property (ii) fixes the global scale of the spectral axis, yielding

$$\int f_{l}^{(n)} 2 : l = 1; ...; ng' nN(),$$

i.e., $(nN())^{-1}$ is the typical eigenvalue spacing for large n in .

(ii) \overline{N}_n ! N weakly as n! 1;

(iii)
$$N() = R$$
 () $d :$

Property (ii) fixes the global scale of the spectral axis, yielding

$$Jf_{I}^{(n)} 2 : I = 1; ...; ng' nN(),$$

i.e., $(nN())^{-1}$ is the typical eigenvalue spacing for large n in .

Assume Supp N, then

does not depend on n (in the global scale), i.e., nN() n: global (macroscopic) regime;

```
(ii) \overline{N}_n ! N weakly as n ! 1;
```

(iii)
$$N() = \mathbb{R}$$
 () d :

Property (ii) fixes the global scale of the spectral axis, yielding

$$Jf_{I}^{(n)} 2 : I = 1; ...; ng' nN(),$$

i.e., $(nN())^{-1}$ is the typical eigenvalue spacing for large n in .

Assume Supp N, then

does not depend on n (in the global scale), i.e., nN() n: global (macroscopic) regime;

nN() 1: local (microscopic) regime;

(ii)
$$\overline{N}_n$$
 ! N weakly as n ! 1;
(iii) $N() = \mathbb{R}$ () d :

Property (ii) fixes the global scale of the spectral axis, yielding

$$\int f_{l}^{(n)} 2 : l = 1; ...; ng' nN(),$$

i.e., $(nN())^{-1}$ is the typical eigenvalue spacing for large n in

Assume Supp N, then

UNIVERSALITY: the independence (to a certain extent) of a given regime on the random matrix (ensemble) in question.

UNIVERSALITY: the independence (to a certain extent) of a given regime on the random matrix (ensemble) in question.

Every regime possesses a ceratin "amount" of universality.

UNIVERSALITY: the independence (to a certain extent) of a given regime on the random matrix (ensemble) in question.

Every regime possesses a ceratin "amount" of universality.

We will discuss only the local bulk universality (to be found from the room acoustics up zeros of - function, via quantum chaos).

UNIVERSALITY: the independence (to a certain extent) of a given regime on the random matrix (ensemble) in question.

Every regime possesses a ceratin "amount" of universality.

We will discuss only the local bulk universality (to be found from the room acoustics up zeros of - function, via quantum chaos).

Probability theory analogs: LLN, CLT, "collective theorems", Yu. Linnik

"emerging universality" QFT

1.2 Linear Eigenvalue Statistics.

Take ': R ! R and write the *linear eigenvalue statistic*

$$N_n['] := X_n$$

$$V_n['] := X_n$$

' is known as the test function. In particular

$$N_n() : = \int_{I}^{I} f_{I}^{(n)} 2 ; I = 1; ...; ng$$

$$= X^n$$

$$= (_{I}^{(n)}) = N_n[]$$

is the Eigenvalue Counting Measure of eigenvalues and $N_n = n^{-1} N_n$.

Define

bulk
$$N = f$$
 2 supp $N : 9 > 0$; $\lim_{n! \to 1} \sup_{j} j$ () $\int_{n} \int_{n} \int_{n}$

We have for $N_n[']$:

' is *n*-independent: global regime;

$$'_{n} = '((_{0})L_{n}); L_{n}! 1; nL_{n}! 0$$
: intermediate bulk regime;

$$'_{n} = '((_{0})n_{n}(_{0}))$$
: local bulk regime

1.3 Typical Problems

(i) $\lim_{n!} 1^n N_n$, global regime, selfaveraging, "LLN"

1.3 Typical Problems

- (i) $\lim_{n!} 1^n N_n$, global regime, selfaveraging, "LLN"
- (ii) $VarfN_ng$, global and intermediatee regime, fluctuations, "CLT"

1.3 Typical Problems

- (i) $\lim_{n!} 1^n N_n$, global regime, selfaveraging, "LLN"
- (ii) $VarfN_ng$, global and intermediatee regime, fluctuations, "CLT"
- (iii) $PfN_n(n) = kg; k 2 N; E_n(n) = PfN_n(n) = 0g,$ gap probability, local regime, spacings, universality in particular $n = (0; 0 + S = n \ n(0)); 0 2$ bulk N for the local bulk regime

1.4 Hermitian Matrix Models

n hermitian random matrices with the law

$$P_{n}(dM) = Z_{n}^{1} \exp f \quad n \text{Tr} V(M) g dM;$$

$$dM = V_{n} \quad Y_{n} \quad d < M_{jk} d = M_{jk};$$

$$j=1 \quad 1 \quad j < k \quad n$$

 $V: R \not = R_+$ is a continuous function (potential), and

$$9">0; L<1$$
 $V()$ $(2+'')\log(1+jj)>0; jj$ L

 $V = {}^{2}$ = 2 corresponds to the Gaussian Unitary Ensemble (GUE).

1.5 Results (a collection)

and let N

In particular

$$V:p: \frac{Z}{\sup N} - \frac{()d}{\int d} = V^{\theta}()=2; \quad 2 \sup N:$$

i.e., an analog of the LLN: Wigner, 52; Brezin et al, 79; A. Boutet de Monvel, P., Shcherbina, 95; Deift et al 98; Johansson, 98; P., Shcherbina, 07.

(ii) $VarfN_n[']g$ does not grow with n if ' 2

In particular

v:p:
$$\frac{Z}{\sup N} = V^{\theta}()=2; \quad 2 \sup N:$$

i.e., an analog of the LLN: Wigner, 52; Brezin et al, 79; A. Boutet de Monve. P., Shcherbina, 95; Deift et al 98; Johansson, 98; P., Shcherbina, 07.te.

$$V^{\emptyset}$$
 is Lip 1; there exists a closed interval $[a;b]=\sup N$ such that
$$\sup_{2[a;b]} jV^{\emptyset\emptyset}(\)j \quad C_1 < 1 \ ; \quad 0 < \inf_{2[a;b]} \ (\):$$
 Then we have for any $d>0$:
$$\sup_{2[a+d;b-d]} j_n(\) \quad (\)j \quad Cn^{2=9};$$

i.e., $[a + d; b \quad d]$ belongs to bulk N;

(ii) if $p_l^{(n)}$; l=1;2;::: are the marginals of the joint probability density of eigenvalues, then for any ${}_0 2[a+d;b-d]$

$$\lim_{n! \to 1} [n(0)] p_l^{(n)} = 0 + \frac{x_1}{n_n(0)} : ::: 0 + \frac{x_1}{n_n(0)}$$

(iii) if $E_n(n) = \mathbf{P} f_1^{(n)} 2$ n; l = 1; ...; ng is the gap probability of the ensemble and n = (0; 0 + S = n(0)) 0 = 2[a + d; b d], then

$$\lim_{n! \to 1} \mathbf{P}(n) = \det(1 + S(s));$$

where

$$(S(s)f)(x) = \int_{0}^{Z} \frac{\sin(x + y)}{(x + y)} f(y) dy; \ x \ 2[0; s];$$

Dyson, 61, 73; P., Shcherbina, 97, 07; Deift et al 99

More if $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0$; 1 (singular points (e.g. edge) universality).

2 Proof (outline)

2.1 Orthogonal Polynomials Techniques

Weyl integration formula for the joint eigenvalue density

$$p_n(1) :::: n) = Q_n^1 e^{n \sum_{k=1}^{n} V(n)}$$

2 Proof (outline)

2.1 Orthogonal Polynomials Techniques

Weyl integration formula for the joint eigenvalue density

 p_n

$${}_{I}^{(n)} = e^{-nV=2}P_{I}^{(n)} \text{ and } K_{n}(;) = {}_{I=0}^{p} {}_{I}^{n-1} {}_{I}^{(n)}(;) {}_{I}^{(n)}(;),$$

$$K_{n}(;)K_{n}(;)d = K_{n}(;):$$

$${}_{I}^{(n)} = e^{-nV=2}P_{I}^{(n)} \text{ and } K_{n}(;) = {}_{I=0}^{p} {}_{I}^{n-1} {}_{I}^{(n)}(;) {}_{I}^{(n)}(;),$$

$$Z$$

$$K_{n}(;;)K_{n}(;;)d = K_{n}(;;):$$

$${}_{I}^{(n)} = e^{-nV=2}P_{I}^{(n)} \text{ and } K_{n}(;) = {}_{I=0}^{p} {}_{I}^{n-1} {}_{I}^{(n)}(;) {}_{I}^{(n)}(;),$$

$$= {}_{I=0}^{p} {}_{I}^{n-1} {}_{I}^{(n)}(;) {}_{I}^{(n)}(;),$$

$$= {}_{I=0}^{p} {}_{I}^{n-1} {}_{I}^{(n)}(;;) {}_{I}^{(n)}(;;),$$

Then the marginals $p_l^{(n)}$ of p_n are given by the determinant formulas

$$p_{I}^{(n)}(1) := p_{n}(1) :$$

Determinant formulas imply:

(a)
$$\mathbf{E} f n^{-1} N_n['] g = {R \choose i} ()_n() d ; n() = K_n(;);$$

(b) $VarfN_n[']g = \frac{1}{2}^{RR}('(_1) \quad '(_2))^2 K_n^2(_{1};_2)d_1d_2;$

(b) $VarfN_n[']g = \frac{1}{2}$

(b)
$$VarfN_n[']g = \frac{1}{2}^{RR}('(_1) \quad '(_2))^2 K_n^2(_1;_2)d_1d_2;$$

(c) $PfN_n() = 0g = det(1 K_n())$, where

$$(K_n(\)f)(\) = K_n(\ ;\)f(\)d\ ;\ 2\ :$$

Thus, problems ((i)) - (ii) - (iii) reduce to the asymptotic analysis of the reproducing kernel K_{n} ,

or, in view of the Christoffel-Darboux formula

$$K_n(\ ;\) = r_{n-1}^{(n)} \quad {n\choose n} (\) \quad {n\choose n-1} (\) \quad {n\choose n-1} (\) \quad {n\choose n} (\) \quad (\) \quad 1;$$

to the asymptotics of $\binom{(n)}{n}$; $\binom{(n)}{n-1}$, and $\binom{(n)}{n-1}$, where for l=0.

$${n \choose l}() = r_l^{(n)} {n \choose l+1}() + S_l^{(n)} {n \choose l}() + r_{l-1}^{(n)} {n \choose l}()$$
:

On the other hand, in P, Shcherbina 97, 07 the universality of the local bulk regime of hermitian matrix models is proved for globally C^2 and locally C^3 potentials (see above theorem), basing on the orthogonal polynomial techniques, in particular on the above integral representation for K_n , but NOT using asymptotics of corresponding orthogonal polynomials.

On the other hand, in P., Shcherbina 97, 07 the universality of the local bulk

On the other hand, in P, Shcherbina 97, 07 the universality of the local bulk regime of hermitian matrix models is proved for globally C^2 and locally C^3 potentials (see above theorem), basing on the orthogonal polynomial techniques, in particular on the above integral representation for K_D , but NOT using asymptotics of corresponding orthogonal polynomials.

In *P., Shcherbina*, 97 $\sin(x) = (x)$ is obtained via its Taylor expansion. In *P., Shcherbina*, 07 $\sin(x) = (x)$ is obtained as solution of a non-linear integro-differential equation.

2.2 Integro-differential Equation for Rescaled Reproducing Kernel

We start from the integral representation à la determinant formulas

2.2 Integro-differential Equation for Rescaled Reproducing Kernel

We start from the integral representation `

Differentiate the representation with respect to X to obtain the identity

$$\frac{@}{@x}K_{n}(x;y) = \frac{1}{2}V^{\ell}(_{0} + x=n)K_{n}(x;y) + \frac{K_{n}(x^{\ell};x^{\ell})K_{n}(x;y) - K_{n}(x;x^{\ell})K_{n}(x^{\ell};y)}{x - x^{\ell}}dx^{\ell}$$

Differentiate the representation with respect to *X* to obtain the identity

$$\frac{@}{@x}K_{n}(x;y) = \frac{1}{2}V^{\ell}(_{0} + x=n)K_{n}(x;y)$$

$$+ K_{n}(x^{\ell};x^{\ell})K_{n}(x;y) \quad K_{n}(x;x^{\ell})$$

Differentiate the representation with respect to *X* to obtain the identity

$$\frac{@}{@x}K_{n}(x;y) = \frac{1}{2}V^{\ell}(_{0} + x=n)K_{n}(x;y)$$

$$+ K_{n}(x^{\ell};x^{\ell})K_{n}(x;y) \quad K_{n}(x;x^{\ell})$$

and write

and write
$$\frac{@}{@x}K_n(x;y) = \frac{Z}{K_n(x;x^0)K_n(x^0;y)}$$

and write

and write
$$\frac{@}{@x}K_n(x;y) = \frac{Z}{K_n(x;x^0)K_n(x^0;y)}$$

We prove next that under the conditions of theorem we have uniformly in jxj;jyj < L; $_0 2[a + d;b d]$:

$$\frac{@}{@x}K_n(x;y) + \frac{@}{@y}K_n(x;y) \qquad C \quad n^{1=8} + jx \quad yjn^{2} ;$$

We prove next that under the conditions of theorem we have uniformly in

We prove next that under the conditions of theorem we have uniformly in jxj;jyj < L; 0 2 [a + d;b d]:

$$\frac{@}{@x}K_n(x;y) + \frac{@}{@y}K_n(x;y) \qquad C \quad n^{1=8} + jx \quad yjn^{2} ;$$

$$jK_n(x;y) K_n(0;y x)j Cjxj n^{1=8} + jx yjn^2$$
;

$$\frac{@}{@x}K_n(x;y) \qquad C; \qquad \int_{[jxj] L} dx \frac{@}{@x}K_n(x;y) \qquad C;$$

We prove next that under the conditions of theorem we have uniformly in jxj;jyj < L; 0 2 [a + d;b d]:

$$\frac{@}{@x}K_n(x;y) + \frac{@}{@y}K_n(x;y) \qquad C \quad n^{1=8} + jx \quad yjn^{2} ;$$

$$jK_n(x;y) K_n(0;y x)j Cjxj n^{1=8} + jx yjn^2$$
;

$$\frac{@}{@x}K_n(x;y) \qquad C; \qquad \int_{[jxj] L} dx \frac{@}{@x}K_n(x;y) \qquad C;$$

Now, if

$$K_{n}(x) = K_{n}(x;0)\mathbf{1}_{jxj} L + K_{n}(L;0)(1 + L x)\mathbf{1}_{L < x} L_{+1} + K_{n}(L;0)(1 + L + x)\mathbf{1}_{L 1 x < L};$$

then for *jyj* L

$$\frac{@}{@y}K_n(y) = \frac{Z}{jx'j} \frac{K_n(x^{\emptyset})K_n(y - x^{\emptyset})}{x^{\emptyset}} dx^{\emptyset} + O(L^{-1});$$
and
$$jK_n(x)j^2dx = 1; \frac{d}{dx}K_n(x)^2 dx = 1:$$

and
$$jK_n(x)j^2dx$$
 1; $\frac{d}{dx}K_n(x) dx$ 1:

2.3 Asymptotic Solution of Equation

Then we have from $n^{-1}K_n(\cdot; \cdot) =$

Since K_n is "asymptotically even"

Z $j \not k_n(p) \quad \not k_n(p) j^2 dp$ Z $= 2 \quad j K_n(x) \quad K_n(x) j^2 dx \quad Cn^{1=8} \log^3 n$

we obtain the Fourier form of the above integro-differential equation:

$$Z = \sum_{p} \mathbb{Z}_{p} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p} = O(L^{-1}); jyj \quad L=3:$$

Since K_n is "asymptotically even"

$$j \aleph_n(p)$$
 $\aleph_n(p) j^2 dp$

$$Z$$

$$= 2 j K_n(x) K_n(x) j^2 dx Cn^{1=8} \log^3 n$$

we obtain the Fourier form of the above integro-differential equation:

$$Z = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}} \mathbb{X}_{n}(p) = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}} \mathbb{X}_{n}(p^{q}) dp^{q} = p \cdot e^{-ipy} dp = O(L^{-1}); jyj \quad L=3:$$

Besides, since K_n is positive definite R_n is "asymptotically non-negative":

$$\mathcal{R}_n(p)j\hat{f}(p)j^2dp$$
 $Cjjfjj_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2(n^{-1=8}\log^4 n + O(L^{-1}))$:

$$F_n(p) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \mathcal{R}_n(p^i) dp^i$$

Since $p \not R_n \ 2 \ L^2(\mathbb{R})$, the sequence $F_n g$ consists of functions that are of uniformly bounded variation, uniformly bounded and equicontinuous on \mathbb{R} . Thus $F_n g$

$$F_n(p) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \mathcal{R}_n(p^i) dp^i$$

Since $p \not R_n \ 2 \ L^2(\mathbb{R})$, the sequence $F F_n g$ consists of functions that are of uniformly bounded variation, uniformly bounded and equicontinuous on \mathbb{R} . Thus $F F_n g$ is a compact family with respect to the uniform convergence. Hence, the limit F of any subsequence $F F_{n_k} g$ possesses the properties:

- (a) F is bounded and continuous;
- (b) F(p) = F(p);

$$F_n(p) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \mathcal{R}_n(p^i) dp^i$$

Since $p \not R_n \ 2 \ L^2(\mathbb{R})$, the sequence $F_n g$ consists of functions that are of uniformly bounded variation, uniformly bounded and equicontinuous on \mathbb{R} . Thus $F_n g$ is a compact family with respect to the uniform convergence. Hence, the limit F of any subsequence $F_{n_k} g$ possesses the properties:

- (a) F is bounded and continuous;
- (b) F(p) = F(p)
- (c) $F(p) = F(p^{\theta})$, if $p = p^{\theta}$

$$F_n(p) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \mathcal{R}_n(p^i) dp^i$$

Since $p \not R_n 2 L^2(\mathbb{R})$, the sequence $f F_n g$ consists of functions that are of uniformly bounded variation, uniformly bounded and equicontinuous on \mathbb{R} . Thus $fF_{n}g$ is a compact family with respect to the uniform convergence. Hence, the limit F of any subsequence $fF_{n_k}g$ possesses the properties:

- (a) F is bounded and continuous;
- (b) F(p) = F(p);(c) $F(p) = F(p^0), \text{ if } p = p^0;$
- (d) F(+1) F(1) = 2 (0);

$$F_n(p) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \mathcal{R}_n(p^i) dp^i$$

Since $p \not R_n 2 L^2(\mathbb{R})$, the sequence $f F_n g$ consists of functions that are of uniformly bounded variation, uniformly bounded and equicontinuous on \mathbb{R} . Thus $fF_{n}g$ is a compact family with respect to the uniform convergence. Hence, the limit F of any subsequence $fF_{n_k}g$ possesses the properties:

- (a) F is bounded and continuous;
- (b) F(p) = F(p);(c) $F(p) = F(p^0), \text{ if } p = p^0;$
- (d) F(+1) F(1) = 2 (0);

(e) *F* satisfies the following equation, valid for any smooth function *g* of compact support:

$$(F(p) \quad p)g(p)dF(p) = 0$$
:

The last property implies that F(p) = p or F(p) = const, hence it follows from (a) – (c) that

$$F(p) = p \mathbf{1}_{jpj p_0} + \operatorname{sign}(p) \mathbf{1}_{jpj p_0}$$

where $p_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \end{pmatrix}$ by (d).

We conclude that the equation is uniquely soluble, thus the sequence F_ng converges uniformly on any compact to the above F. This imply the weak

convergence of the sequence fK_ng to the function

$$K(x) = \frac{\sin((0)x)}{(0)x}$$

convergence of the sequence $fK_{n}g$ to the function

$$K(x) = \frac{\sin((0)x)}{(0)x}$$

But weak convergence implies

$$\lim_{n!} K_n(x;y) = K(x y):$$

uniformly in (x; y), varying on a compact set of \mathbb{R}^2 , because $\frac{d}{dx}K_n \ 2 \ L^2(\mathbb{R})$: